# Run Time, Generating Functions CS 624 — Analysis of Algorithms

September 13, 2024



Nurit Haspel

02 Run Time

Abstractions from concrete performance numbers:

- Ignore hardware platform, caches, different instructions.
- Ignore differences in constant numbers of instructions.
- Ignore constant factors in general.
- Ignore performance for "small" problem sizes.

What is left?

We focus on the **order of growth** of the time (or space) function. This is also called the **asymptotic efficiency** of the algorithm.

- There are several standard "reference functions" that we use to classify orders of growth.
- It is important to be familiar with these functions and to be able to compare their growth rates.
- There are three main classes of common reference functions: exponentials, powers ("polynomial"), and logarithms.

# Order of Growth



Nurit Haspel

#### 02 Run Time

Order of Growth

n $f(n)$ $n$	$\log n$	n	$n \lg(n)$	$n^2$	$2^n$	n!
10	$0.003 \mu s$	$0.01 \mu s$	$0.033 \mu s$	$0.1 \mu s$	$1 \mu s$	3.63 ms
20	$0.004 \mu s$	$0.02 \mu s$	$0.086 \mu s$	$0.4 \mu s$	1ms	77.1 y.
30	$0.005 \mu s$	$0.03 \mu s$	$0.147 \mu s$	$0.9 \mu s$	1 sec	$8.4 imes10^{15}$ y.
40	$0.005 \mu s$	$0.04 \mu s$	$0.0213 \mu s$	$1.6 \mu s$	18.3 min	
50	$0.006 \mu s$	$0.05 \mu s$	$0.0282 \mu s$	$2.5 \mu s$	13 d.	
100	$0.007 \mu s$	$0.1 \mu s$	$0.644 \mu s$	$10 \mu s$	$4 imes 10^{13}$ y.	
$10^{3}$	$0.010 \mu s$	$1 \mu s$	$9.966 \mu s$	1ms		
$10^{4}$	$0.013 \mu s$	$10 \mu s$	$130 \mu s$	100ms		
$10^{5}$	$0.017 \mu s$	$100 \mu s$	1.67ms	10 sec		
$10^{6}$	$0.020 \mu s$	1ms	19.93ms	16.7 min		
$10^{7}$	$0.023 \mu s$	0.01 sec	0.23 sec	1.16 d.		
$10^{8}$	$0.027 \mu s$	0.1 sec	2.66 sec	115.7 d.		
$10^{9}$	$0.030 \mu s$	1 sec	29.9 sec	31.7 у.		

# Quick Reminder: Logarithms and Exponents

If a, b, and x are all positive, then  $\log_b x = \log_a x \cdot \log_b a$ 

### Proof.

Say 
$$\log_b a = P$$
 and  $\log_a x = Q$ .

• Then we have 
$$b^P = a$$
 and  $a^Q = x$ 

• Hence: 
$$b^{PQ} = (b^P)^Q = a^Q = x$$

• That is, 
$$b^{\log_b a \cdot \log_a x} = x$$

• And so 
$$\log_b a \cdot \log_a x = \log_b x$$

In other words: all logs are equivalent up to a constant.

These computations are quite standard and you should be able to prove, for example, that:

$$a^{b(\log_a x)} = x^b$$

## Definition ( $f \leq g$ )

Let f and g be functions. Then  $f \leq g$  iff  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all x.

### Definition ("big-Oh")

Let  $f,g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $f \in O(g)$  iff there are numbers c > 0 and  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $f(x) \le c \cdot g(x)$  for all  $x \ge x_0$ .

To prove that  $f \in O(g)$ , you must come up with the two constants c and  $x_0$  and show that the inequality above actually holds.



$$orall n \geq N_0, \, f(n) \leq g(n)$$

# Asymptotic Notation

It is customary to write f=O(g) instead of  $f\in O(g).$ 

This notation generalizes, but the big-Oh should only be on the right side of the equal sign.

#### Example

Suppose we have a complicated function f whose exact formula we don't know exactly. We can still write:

$$f(n) = n^3 + O(n^2)$$

That means that there is a function h(n) such that:

$$f(n) = n^3 + h(n)$$
 where  $h(n) = O(n^2)$ 

Note: That is a more precise statement than  $f(n) = O(n^3)$ . (Why?)

Let's show that  $2n^2 = O(n^3)$ .

- We must find two actual numbers c>0 and  $n_0>0$  such that  $2n^2 \leq cn^3$  for all  $n\geq n_0$
- ▶ In this case, c = 1 and  $n_0 = 2$  works, because when  $2 \le n$ , then  $2n^2 \le n \cdot n^2 = n^3 = 1 \cdot n^3$ .

This is what I expect your homework/exam answers to look like, when I ask you to prove f = O(g) using the definition.

Some examples (you have to be able to prove them):

 $n^2 = O(n^2 - 3)$   $n^2 = O(n^2 + 3)$   $100n^2 = O(n^2)$   $n^2 = O(n^2 + 7n + 2)$   $n^2 + 7n + 2 = O(n^2)$   $\text{If } 0 

<math>
 \text{For all } a > 0 \text{ and } b > 0, \log_a x = O(\log_b x)$ 

# Properties of "big-Oh" Notation

#### Lemma

If 
$$f = O(h)$$
 and  $g = O(h)$  then  $f + g = O(h)$ 

### Proof.

- ▶ f = O(h) and therefore there are constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $x_1 > 0$  such that  $f(x) \le c_1 h(x)$  for all  $x \ge x_1$ .
- ▶ g = O(h) and therefore there are constants  $c_2 > 0$  and  $x_2 > 0$ such that  $g(x) \le c_2 h(x)$  for all  $x \ge x_2$ .
- Notice that these are not the same constants!
- We need to find constants that work for f + g.

# Properties of "big-Oh" Notation

### Proof (continued).

- We can use  $c_1 + c_2$  and  $\max(x_1, x_2)$ .
- We must check that for all  $x \ge \max(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $f(x) + g(x) \le (c_1 + c_2)h(x)$ .
- ▶ This is because if  $x \ge \max(x_1, x_2)$  then  $x \ge x_1$ , so  $f(x) \le c_1 h(x)$ .
- ▶ Similarly, if  $x \ge \max(x_1, x_2)$  then  $x \ge x_2$ , so  $g(x) \le c_2 h(x)$ .
- Adding the inequalities, we see that when  $x \ge \max(x_1, x_2)$ then  $f(x) + g(x) \le (c_1 + c_2)h(x)$

### Definition ( $\Omega$ )

 $f = \Omega(g)$  if there are constants c > 0 and  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $f(x) \ge c \cdot g(x)$  for all  $x \ge x_0$ .

### Fact

$$f = \Omega(g)$$
 iff  $g = O(f)$ .

#### Example

$$\sqrt{n} = \Omega(\log(n))$$

## Definition ( $\Theta$ )

 $f = \Theta(g)$  if there are constants a, b > 0 and  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $ag(x) \le f(x) \le bg(x)$  for all  $x \ge x_0$ .

### Example

It should be easy for you to show that:  $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + 2n = \Theta(n^2)$ .

Recurrences often arise from analyzing divide and conquer algorithms or other recursive functions.

Example

Run time for Merge Sort:

$$T(n) = egin{cases} d & ext{if } n = 1 \ 2T(rac{n}{2}) + n & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We would like to get an explicit formula whenever possible. We will explore multiple techniques for solving recurrences. One approach:

- 1. Guess a formula or bound of the solution.
- 2. Prove it by induction, generally for any necessary constant.

### Example

$$T(n)=4T\left(rac{n}{2}
ight)+n$$

where T(1) is a constant.

Note that we should actually write  $T(n) = 4T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n$  unless n is a power of 2, but this is not a major point at the moment.

## **Guess and Prove**

- 1. Guess  $T(n) = O(n^3)$ , and guess that  $n_0 = 1$  will work.
- 2. Prove this by induction:

### Proof.

- ▶ Base case:  $T(1) \le c(1^3)$ . Trivial, provided that c is big enough.
- Inductive case:  $T(n) \leq cn^3$ .
- ▶ Inductive hypothesis: Assume that  $T(k) \le ck^3$  for  $1 \le k < n$ .
- Now we calculate starting with T(n):

$$T(n) = 4T\left(rac{n}{2}
ight) + n$$
 by recurrence  
 $\leq 4c\left(rac{n}{2}
ight)^3 + n$  by IH, since  $n/2 < n$   
 $= rac{c}{2}n^3 + n = cn^3 - \left(rac{c}{2}n^3 - n
ight)$ 

and  $cn^3 - (\frac{c}{2}n^3 - n) \le cn^3$  is true whenever  $\frac{c}{2}n^3 - n \ge 0$ , and this is certainly true if for instance  $c \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 1$ . (Can you prove this?)

## **Guess and Prove**

Our initial guess may not be the tight bound. In this case, actually  $T(n)=O(n^2).$  Again:

- 1. Guess that  $T(n) = O(n^2)$ , and that  $n_0 = 1$  will work.
- 2. Prove by induction.

#### Proof.

- ▶ Base case:  $T(1) \le c \cdot 1^2$ . Trivial, for a big enough c.
- Inductive case:  $T(n) \leq c \cdot n^2$ .
- ▶ Inductive hypothesis: Assume  $T(k) \le c \cdot k^2$  for all  $1 \le k < n$ .
- Now we calculate starting with T(n):

$$T(n) = 4T\left(rac{n}{2}
ight) + n$$
 by recurrence  
 $\leq 4c\left(rac{n}{2}
ight)^2 + n$  by IH  
 $= cn^2 + n$ 

!!! WRONG !!! We cannot show that  $cn^2 + n \leq cn^2$ . It's not true for c > 0, n > 0!

## **Guess and Prove**

Problem: there's a lower-order term "in the way" Repair: refine the guess to subtract the lower-order term:

$$T(n) \leq c_1 n^2 - c_2 n = O(n^2)$$

#### Proof.

- ▶ Base case:  $T(1) \leq c_1 \cdot 1^2 c_2 \cdot 1$ .
- ▶ Inductive case:  $T(n) \le c_1 \cdot n^2 c_2 \cdot n$ .
- ▶ Inductive hypothesis: Assume  $T(k) \le c_1 \cdot k^2 c_2 \cdot k$  for all  $1 \le k < n$ .
- Now we calculate starting with T(n):

$$egin{aligned} T(n)&=4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big)+n & ext{by recurrence}\ &\leq 4\Big(c_1\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big)^2-c_2rac{n}{2}\Big)+n & ext{by IH}\ &=c_1n^2-(2c_2-1)n \end{aligned}$$

So we must show  $c_1n^2-(2c_2-1)n\leq c_1n^2-c_2n$  , which is true if  $c_2\geq 1.$ 

Another approach (#2):

- Draw the recursion tree of problem sizes.
- Draw the corresponding tree of divide and combine costs.
- Sum the divide and combine costs per level.
- Calculate bounds on the *full* and *partial* tree levels.
- Run time = sum of divide and combine costs over all levels.

## **Recursion Tree**

## A more complicated recurrence: $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{4}) + T(\frac{n}{2}) + n^2$ .



T(n) is the sum of the divide and combine cost for each level.

Nurit Haspel

Observations:

- The tree is fully filled up until the  $log_4(n)$  level.
- The tree is partially filled up to the  $log_2(n)$  level.

We can bound the runtime from above and below:

$$T(n) \leq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} igg(rac{5}{16}igg)^k$$

$$T(n) \geq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_4 n} igg(rac{5}{16}igg)^k$$

Observations:

- The tree is fully filled up until the  $log_4(n)$  level.
- The tree is partially filled up to the  $log_2(n)$  level.

We can bound the runtime from above and below:

$$T(n) \leq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} \left(rac{5}{16}
ight)^k \leq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(rac{5}{16}
ight)^k = n^2 \cdot rac{1}{1-rac{5}{16}}$$

$$T(n) \geq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_4 n} igg(rac{5}{16}igg)^k \geq n^2 \sum_{k=0}^0 igg(rac{5}{16}igg)^k = n^2 \cdot 1$$

That is,  $c_1n^2 \leq T(n) \leq c_2n^2$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

Another tool for solving recurrences (#3):

- ► Apply the **master theorem**.
- ▶ The master theorem applies only to recurrences of the form  $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$  where  $a \ge 1$ , b > 1 and f is ultimately positive (that is, positive above some  $x_0 > 0$ ). (So it doesn't apply to the previous example, for instance.)

First, consider the recurrence  $T(n) = aT(rac{n}{b})$ , where  $a \geq 1$ , b > 1.

A recurrence of this form arises from a divide and conquer algorithm that divides a problem into a sub-problems of size  $\frac{n}{b}$ .

Let's apply the guess and prove method:

- Let's assume that  $T(n) = n^p$  for some p.
- Substituting  $n^p$  into the recurrence we get:  $n^p = a \left(\frac{n}{b}\right)^p = \frac{a}{b^p} n^p$ . So  $b^p = a$ .
- Taking  $\log_b$  from both sides we get:  $p = \log_b a$ .
- Therefore,  $T(n) = n^{\log_b a}$  is a solution to the recurrence.

The master theorem is based on this fact.

Unfortunately, divide and conquer recurrences are more complicated in general:

$$T(n) = aT\left(rac{n}{b}
ight) + f(n)$$

• The  $aT(\frac{n}{b})$  term corresponds to conquering the sub-problems.

• The f(n) part corresponds to the divide and combine costs.

The master theorem considers three cases ( $p = \log_b a$ ):

- **1.** f(n) is small compared with  $n^p$
- **2.** f(n) is comparable to  $n^p$
- 3. f(n) is large compared with  $n^p$

For this theorem (and not necessarily other cases), "f(n) is small compared with  $n^{p}$ " means that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(n) = O(n^{p-\epsilon}) = O(n^p/n^{\epsilon})$$

That is, f(n) grows more slowly than  $n^p$  by some positive power of n.

Similarly, "f(n) is large compared with  $n^p$ " means that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(n) = \Omega(n^{p+\epsilon}) = \Omega(n^p n^{\epsilon})$$

That is, f(n) grows faster than  $n^p$  by some positive power of n.

Moreover, there has to be a constant 0 < c < 1 and a constant  $n_0$ , so that for every  $n > n_0$ ,

$$af\left(rac{n}{b}
ight) \leq cf(n)$$

where a and b are the same as in the recurrence formula. (When does this hold for, say,  $f(n) = n^k$ ?)

### Theorem (Master Theorem)

If  $a \ge 1$  and b > 1 are constants, f(n) is a function, and T(n) is another function satisfying the recurrence T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)where we interpret n/b to mean either  $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/b \rceil$ , then T(n) can be estimated asymptotically as follows:

- 1. If  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a \epsilon})$  for some constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ .
- 2. If  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .
- 3. If  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$  and if  $af(n/b) \le cf(n)$  for some constant c with 0 < c < 1 and all sufficiently large n, then  $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ .

## The Cases of the Master Theorem

$$T(n) = aTigg(rac{n}{b}igg) + f(n) \qquad a \geq 1 \quad b > 1$$

- 1. If  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a \epsilon})$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ . When f(n) is small compared with  $n^p$ , f essentially has no effect on the growth of T, and  $T(n) = \Theta(n^p)$ , just as it would if  $f \equiv 0$ . Compare with the example for the guess and prove technique.
- 2. If  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ . This case is significant in that it applies to algorithms which are  $O(n \log n)$ .
- 3. If  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$  and if  $af(n/b) \le cf(n)$  for some constant c with 0 < c < 1 and all sufficiently large n, then  $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ . In this case, the function f is what really contributes to the growth of T, and the recursion is immaterial.

Case 2 is actually split in 2 in the text:

2a. If 
$$f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$$
 then  $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .

2b. If 
$$f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a})$$
 then  $T(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .

Putting the two together implies case 2, but case 2 doesn't immediately imply either of them.

### Equivalently:

2a'. If 
$$T(n) \leq aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$$
 where  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .

2b'. If 
$$T(n) \ge aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n)$$
 where  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$ .

$$T(n)=4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big)+n$$

Here we have: 
$$a = 4, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 4 = 2, \ f(n) = n, \ n^p = n^2.$$

$$T(n)=4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big)+n$$

Here we have:  $a = 4, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 4 = 2, \ f(n) = n, \ n^p = n^2$ .

So this is case 1 where  $f(n) = O(n^{2-\epsilon})$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ .

So  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

$$T(n)=4T\Bigl(rac{n}{2}\Bigr)+n^2$$

Here we have: 
$$a = 4, b = 2, p = \log_2 4 = 2, f(n) = n^2, n^p = n^2$$
.

$$T(n)=4T\Bigl(rac{n}{2}\Bigr)+n^2$$

Here we have:  $a = 4, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 4 = 2, \ f(n) = n^2, \ n^p = n^2.$ 

So this is case 2 where  $f(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ .

So  $T(n) = \Theta(n^2 \log(n))$ .

### Example

$$T(n) = 4T\left(rac{n}{2}
ight) + n^3.$$

Now we have: a = 4, b = 2,  $p = \log_2 4 = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n^3$ ,  $n^p = n^2$ .

### Example

$$T(n) = 4Tig(rac{n}{2}ig) + n^3.$$

Now we have:  $a = 4, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 4 = 2, \ f(n) = n^3, \ n^p = n^2.$ 

We have  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$  for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Thus we are in Case 3 provided we can show that the additional condition needed for Case 3 holds.

- We need to show that there is some constant 0 < c < 1 and some  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $af(\frac{n}{b}) \leq cf(n)$ .
- ► The condition  $4f(n/2) \le cf(n)$  becomes  $4(n/2)^3 \le cn^3$ , or equivalently,  $\frac{1}{2}n^3 \le cn^3$ .
- This holds for any  $c \ge 1/2$ .

Therefore we really are in Case 3, and the conclusion of the master theorem is that  $T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$ .

$$T(n) = 4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big) + n^2/\log n$$

Here we have:  $a = 4, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 4 = 2, \ f(n) = n^2 / \log n, \ n^p = n^2.$ 

$$T(n) = 4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big) + n^2/\log n$$

Here we have: a = 4, b = 2,  $p = \log_2 4 = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n^2/\log n$ ,  $n^p = n^2$ . In this case the master theorem does not apply. (Why?)

$$T(n) = 4T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big) + n^2/\log n$$

Here we have: a = 4, b = 2,  $p = \log_2 4 = 2$ ,  $f(n) = n^2/\log n$ ,  $n^p = n^2$ . In this case the master theorem does not apply. (Why?) More precisely, the standard cases 1–3 don't apply. Case 2a applies, since  $f(n) = n^2/\log n = O(n^2)$ , so  $T(n) = O(n^2 \log n)$ .

$$T(n)=2T\Bigl(rac{n}{2}\Bigr)+cn$$

Here we have:  $a = 2, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 2 = 1, \ f(n) = cn, \ n^p = n.$ 

$$T(n)=2T\Bigl(rac{n}{2}\Bigr)+cn$$

Here we have:  $a = 2, \ b = 2, \ p = \log_2 2 = 1, \ f(n) = cn, \ n^p = n.$ 

So this is case 2 where  $f(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

So  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log(n))$ . This is the case of MergeSort, for example.

Puzzle: How can we compute the value of an infinite sum like the following?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}rac{n}{2^n}=2$$

Puzzle: How can we compute the value of an infinite sum like the following?

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}rac{n}{2^n}=2$$

## Sequences and Generating Functions

Some important functions can be represented as power series:

$$e^{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2} + \frac{x^{3}}{6} + \frac{x^{4}}{24} + \dots$$
  

$$\sin(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = x - \frac{x^{3}}{3!} + \frac{x^{5}}{5!} - \frac{x^{7}}{7!} + \dots$$
  

$$\cos(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} = 1 - \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \frac{x^{4}}{4!} - \frac{x^{6}}{6!} + \dots$$
  

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n} = 1 + x + x^{2} + x^{3} + x^{4} + \dots \quad \text{for } |x| < 1$$

Given a sequence  $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, \}$ , the generating function of the sequence is defined as:

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$

- The set of coefficients (like  $a_n = \frac{1}{n!}$  in the case of  $f(x) = e^x$ ) yield the power series for the function.
- If we recognize the power series and know what function it belongs to, we can use the function to gain knowledge about the sequence.

# **Generating Functions**

We can use generating functions to derive the properties of sequences from properties of another sequence.

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \qquad \text{for } |x| < 1$$
$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nx^{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^{n-1} \qquad \text{differentiate w.r.t } x$$
$$\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \qquad \text{substitute } x = 1/2$$
$$2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^n} \qquad \text{simplify}$$

The binomial theorem says that:

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$$

This just tells us that  $(1 + x)^n$  is the generating function for the finite sequence  $\{\binom{n}{k} : 0 \le k \le n\}$ .

Substituting 
$$x=1$$
 we get  $2^n=\sum\limits_{k=0}{n \choose k}$ 

• We let  $\{f_0, f_1, f_2, ...\}$  denote the Fibonacci numbers:  $\{0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, ...\}$ .

▶ For 
$$n \ge 2$$
,  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ .

- We want to get a closed formula for  $f_n$ .
- We have a formula, but it is not obvious.
- We can use a generating function with the recurrence formula to derive it.

# Generating Function for Fibonacci

$$F(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n x^n$$

$$F(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + f_3 x^3 + f_4 x^4 + f_5 x^5 + \dots$$
  

$$xF(x) = f_0 x + f_1 x^2 + f_2 x^3 + f_3 x^4 + f_4 x^5 + \dots$$
  

$$x^2 F(x) = f_0 x^2 + f_1 x^3 + f_2 x^4 + f_3 x^5 + \dots$$

Remember also that  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$ , and  $f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1$ .

- -

# Generating Function for Fibonacci

$$F(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n x^n$$

$$F(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2 + f_3 x^3 + f_4 x^4 + f_5 x^5 + \dots$$

$$xF(x) = f_0 x + f_1 x^2 + f_2 x^3 + f_3 x^4 + f_4 x^5 + \dots$$

$$\frac{x^2 F(x) = f_0 x^2 + f_1 x^3 + f_2 x^4 + f_3 x^5 + \dots}{(1 - x - x^2)F(x) = f_0 + (f_1 - f_0)x}$$

Remember also that  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$ , and  $f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1$ .

- -

Adding the second and third row and subtracting from the first cancels most terms out, leaving:

$$F(x)(1-x-x^2) = x$$

and so

$$F(x)=rac{x}{(1-x-x^2)}$$

We need to figure out a formula for the coefficient of the power series representing the right hand term.

We already know that for 
$$|x| < 1$$
,  $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = rac{1}{1-x}.$ 

- Our formula is not of this type, we have to convert it.
- It is a quadratic polynomial, so it can be converted into a formula of the kind:

• 
$$(1 - x - x^2) = (1 - \alpha x)(1 - \beta x).$$

• Multiplying the right side we get:  $\alpha\beta = -1$ ;  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

• 
$$\alpha(1-\alpha) = -1$$
;  $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1 = 0$ .

• This is a quadratic equation whose solution is  $\alpha = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

# Generating Function for Fibonacci

- The two solutions add up to 1, so let's make:  $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
- We now know that:  $F(x) = \frac{x}{1-x-x^2} = \frac{x}{(1-\alpha x)(1-\beta x)}$
- Now we can decompose it into two fractions without a quadratic term.
- For this we can find two numbers A and B such that:  $\frac{x}{(1-\alpha x)(1-\beta x)} = \frac{A}{1-\alpha x} + \frac{B}{1-\beta x}$
- Which is true if:  $A(1 \beta x) + B(1 \alpha x) = x$

- This gives us two equations: A + B = 0;  $A\beta + B\alpha = -1$ .
- We know that B = -A and we know that  $\beta = 1 \alpha$ .
- Substituting, we get:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{1}-\alpha)-\mathbf{A}\alpha=-\mathbf{1}\\ \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{A}\alpha-\mathbf{A}\alpha=-\mathbf{1}\\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{2}\alpha)=-\mathbf{1} \end{array}$$

## Generating Function for Fibonacci

- From previous calculation we know that:  $1 2\alpha = -\sqrt{5}$ .
- So we have:  $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$
- Knowing that A + B = 0 we get:  $B = -A = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$

Finally, putting it all together:

$$F(x) = \frac{A}{1 - \alpha x} + \frac{B}{1 - \beta x}$$
$$= A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n x^n + B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n x^n$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha^n - \beta^n) x^n$$

Since the coefficients of F are the fibonacci numbers we get for the  $n^{th}$  coefficient:

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\alpha^n - \beta^n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$$