

THEORY OF COMPUTATION

Primitive Recursive Predicates and Operations Defined on Predicates - 5

Prof. Dan A. Simovici

UMB

1 Primitive Recursive Predicates

2 Iterated Operations and Bounded Quantifiers

Predicates are total functions that range in the two-element set $\{0, 1\}$. Therefore, the notion of “primitive recursive” makes sense for predicates.

Example

The predicate $x = y$ corresponds to the function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

f is primitive recursive because

$$f(x, y) = \alpha(|x - y|).$$

The predicate $x \leq y$ is primitive recursive because it is just $\alpha(x \div y)$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If $P, Q \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\neg P, P \vee Q$ and $P \& Q$ all belong to \mathcal{C} .

Proof.

Note that $\neg P = \alpha(P)$, so $\neg P \in \mathcal{C}$.

We have $P \& Q = P \cdot Q$, so $P \& Q \in \mathcal{C}$.

Finally, $P \vee Q \in \mathcal{C}$ because

$$P \vee Q = \sim (\sim P \& \sim Q).$$



A similar result holds for computable predicates:

Corollary

If P and Q are computable predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \& Q$, and $P \vee Q$.

Example

$x < y$ is primitive recursive because

$$x < y \Leftrightarrow \sim (y \leq x).$$

Theorem

Definition by Cases:

Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If the functions g, h and the predicate P belongs to \mathcal{C} , then the function f defined as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Proof.

The result follows from the equality

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) + h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \alpha(P(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$



Corollary

Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If the functions g_1, \dots, g_m, h and the predicates P_1, \dots, P_m belongs to \mathcal{C} such that

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \& P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and x_1, \dots, x_n .

If f defined as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots & \\ g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then f belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Proof.

The proof is by induction on m . For $m = 1$ the statement holds by the previous theorem. Suppose that the statement is true and let h' be

$$h'(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_{m+1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $h' \in \mathcal{C}$ (by the theorem on Slide 8) and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots & \\ g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h'(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

it follows that $f \in \mathcal{C}$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} , then so do the functions:

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and

$$h(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof.

The recursion equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}g(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\g(t + 1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= g(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) + f(t + 1, x_1, \dots, x_n).\end{aligned}$$

Since addition belongs to \mathcal{C} , $g \in \mathcal{C}$.

Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned}h(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\h(t + 1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= g(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f(t + 1, x_1, \dots, x_n),\end{aligned}$$

it follows that $h \in \mathcal{C}$. □

Question:

Can we prove by induction on y that $g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$?

NO! because such a proof would show only that the functions

$$g(0, x_1, \dots, x_n), g(1, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots$$

belong to \mathcal{C} and not that $g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$!

A variant of Theorem from Slide 11

Theorem

Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} , then so do the functions:

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{t=1}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

and

$$h(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=1}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof.

For this variant take the initial recursion equations

$$g(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

$$h(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1.$$

with the remaining equations as in the previous proof. This defines a vacuous sum as 0 and a vacuous product to be 1. □

Theorem

If the predicate $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to some PRC \mathcal{C} then so do the predicates

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ and } (\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

The defined predicates are obtained through **bounded quantification**.

Proof.

Note that

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\prod_{t=0}^y P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) = 1$$

$$(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{t=0}^y P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \neq 0.$$



Alternatively, we could have written

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^y P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Another mode for using quantifiers is $(\forall t)_{t < y}$ and $(\exists t)_{t < y}$.

The result follows from the recursion equations

$$(\exists t)_{t < y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\exists t)_{\leq y} [t \neq y \& P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

$$(\forall t)_{t < y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\forall t)_{\leq y} [t = y \vee P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)].$$

Example

$y|x$ (which stands for “ y divides x ”); for example, $3|12$ is TRUE while $5|12$ is FALSE.

The predicate is primitive recursive because

$$y|x \Leftrightarrow (\exists t)_{\leq x}(y \cdot t = x).$$

Example

$\text{Prime}(x)$ which is TRUE when x is a prime number is primitive recursive because

$$\text{Prime}(x) \Leftrightarrow x > 1 \& (\forall t)_{\leq x} \{t = 1 \vee t = x \vee \sim (t|x)\},$$

which expresses that a number is prime if it is greater than 1 and has no divisors other than 1 and itself.