#### More on Java Generics

- Multiple Generic Types
- Bounded Generic Types
- Wild Cards
- Raw Types versus Parameterized Types
- Meta-data / Annotations
- Reading: https://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/

java/generics/index.html

# Multiple Generic Types

- Multiple generic types in a class
- Example: TreeMap<K, V>
- The default TreeMap constructor assumes K implements
   Comparable, but K is not specified K extends Comparable
- The overloaded TreeMap constructor with a Comparator parameter does not assume it

• Bounded Generic Types

<T extends <u>CLass</u> is a bounded generic type

**T** must be Class or some subclass of Class

<T extends <u>Interface</u>> is also a bounded type

**T** must be an implementing class of Interface

Note syntax is using extends for either:

**implements** (interface) or

extends (inheritance)

- Defining a class with a <u>bounded</u> type
   public class <u>Generic</u><T extends Comparable>
   { ... }
- Using a class with a *bounded generic* type

Generic<Comparable> g1 =

new Generic<Comparable>();

Generic<String> g2 = new Generic<String>();

Generic<NotComparable> g3 =

new Generic<NotComparable>(); // error

• Lower Bound Generic Types

<T super <u>Class</u> is a lower-bound generic type

T must be Class or some superclass of Class

- Defining a class with a lower bound type
   public class Generic<T super Stack>
   { ... }
- Using a class with a lower bound type
   <u>Generic</u><Vector> g4 = new <u>Generic</u><Vector>();
   Generic<Stack> g5 = new Generic<Stack>();

 But even though there is a superclass and subclass relationship between the generic types involved, it is <u>not</u> a valid widening conversion for classes parameterized with related generic types

 Remember a class with a bounded generic type class <u>Generic</u><T extends Comparable> <u>Generic</u><Comparable> g1 = ... Generic<String> g2 = ...

However, assignment won't work

g1 = g2; // is a compiler error
 // <u>Generic</u><String> is not
 // a valid subtype of
 // Generic<Comparable>

- However, we can get around some of the preceding restrictions by using <u>wildcards</u>
- Wildcard Generic Types

<?> is an extended wildcard

same as <? extends Object>

<? extends T> is a bounded wildcard

? must be T or some subclass of T

<? super T> is a lower-bound wildcard

? must be T or some superclass of T

• Use as a variable type

Integer is a subtype of Number

List<Integer> is not a subtype of List<Number>

 However, with a wildcard we can get Integer elements out of a List<? extends Number>

List<Integer> ints = Arrays.asList(1,2);

```
List<? extends Number> nums = ints;
```

for(Number n : nums)

```
System.out.println(n);
```

Use as a type for a method parameter:

boolean addAll(Collections<? extends T> c)

 Another collection containing a subtype of T can be added to a collection of type T

ArrayList<Comparable> c = new . . . ;

```
ArrayList<String> s = new . . . ;
```

c.addAll(s);

• We can't use a wildcard in the class header where a dummy generic type will need to be used in code

public class ClassName<?>

public class ClassName<? extends Number>

• How could we write lines of code that refer to the generic type for this ? class?

? myQuestionMark = ... // compiler is  $\Theta$ 

public ? myMethod() // compiler is 😣

# **Evolution, not Revolution**

- An important goal in the generic design was to ensure that Java 4.2 legacy code would work with the Java 5.0 generic library
- Java recognizes the un-parameterized type of each parameterized class/interface in the library, e.g. Iterable and Iterable<T>
- The parameterized types are subtypes of the corresponding un-parameterized "raw" type used in the legacy code

# Evolution, not Revolution

 Legacy code used "raw" un-parameterized types for its reference variables pertaining to the now parameterized types in the Java 5.0 class library

ArrayList myList = new ArrayList();

- A value of a parameterized type can be passed where a raw type is expected – a normal widening conversion
- A value of a raw type can also be passed where a parameterized type is expected, but the compiler produces an "unchecked" warning

## **Evolution, not Revolution**

- You also get warnings on passing of object references where type <T> is expected
- Use compiler switch -source 1.4 or add annotations to the legacy code to suppress these warnings: @SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
- But if you are editing the legacy source, why not just make it generic instead?

- In JDK 5.0, a dedicated annotation facility was added, probably due to success of C#'s attributes
- One of the first practical uses of annotations is a as a way to suppress compiler warnings

@SupressWarnings("type of warning")

- This allows the developer to signal a "respecting" compiler that it should forgo a particular warning
- It is up to the compiler to make sense of whatever you put inside the string, the only value mandated in Java Language Specification is "unchecked"

- Compilers as well as IDE's implement their own set of warning types, e.g. the Eclipse IDE defines more than NetBeans does
- See a compiler's support with javac -X
- Sun JDK1.6.0\_03 supports types : cast, deprecation, divzero, empty, unchecked, fallthrough, path, serial, finally, overrides

• The following code would get two warnings

```
public void uncheckedTest()
{
   List nonGenericList = new ArrayList();
   nonGenericList.add("Some string");
   List<String> genericStringList =
        (List<String>)nonGenericList;
}
```

```
warning: [unchecked] unchecked call to add(E) as
member of the raw type java.util.List
nonGenericList.add("Some string");
```

```
warning: [unchecked] unchecked cast
found : java.util.List
required: java.util.List
List genericStringList = (List)nonGenericList;
```

- We can use "unchecked" to avoid warnings on unchecked casts when using generics
- It can be applied in front of a type, a field, a method, a parameter, a constructor as well as a local variable

```
@SuppressWarnings("unchecked")
public void uncheckedTest()
```

```
{ ...
```