

Discrete Mathematics

Homework 4

Jiho Choi

November 30, 2014

Due: December 2, 2014

Exercises

1. Is forming the cartesian product of two sets a commutative operation? Let S and T be sets. Investigate when/whether

$$S \times T = T \times S.$$

Start this problem by working a few small examples! When you understand what's going on, write your answer as a theorem like this:

Theorem 1. $S \times T = T \times S$ if and only if [something] or [something else].

Proof. Suppose $S \times T = T \times S$. Then ... so something or something else is true. Conversely, suppose neither something nor something else is true. Then ... so $S \times T \neq T \times S$.

Suppose that a set $S = 1,2$ and another set $T = 3,4$. Then, the Cartesian product $S \times T = 1,3,1,4,2,3,2,4$, but $T \times S = 3,1,3,2,4,1,4,2$. So, Cartesian product is not commutative for this case. Let's see another example. Suppose that a set $S = 1,2$ and another set $T = 1,2$. Then, $S \times T = 1,1,1,2,2,1,2,2$, and $T \times S = 1,1,1,2,2,1,2,2$. So, Cartesian product is commutative for this case.

In general, $S \times T = T \times S$ if and only if $S = T$.

Suppose $S \times T = T \times S$. Let $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. Then, $(x, y) \in S \times T$ and $(x, y) \in T \times S$ by hypothesis. So, $x \in S$ and $y \in T \rightarrow x \in T$ and $y \in S$. Therefore, if $S \times T = T \times S$, then $S = T$.

Conversely, suppose that $S \neq T$. Let $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. Then $(x, y) \in S \times T$ and $(y, x) \in T \times S$. However, $(y, x) \notin S \times T$ since y is not necessarily an element in S . Therefore, if $S \neq T$, then $S \times T \neq T \times S$.

Consequently, $S \times T = T \times S$ if and only if $S = T$.

□

2. The algebra of symmetric differences. We'll use $A \oplus B$ for the symmetric difference of subsets A and B of a universe U .

- Show that \oplus is associative.

Suppose that sets $A = 10101$, $B = 11001$, and $C = 00110$. Here, each of them is binary expression. In each digit, 1 means the presence of some element in each set and 0 means the absence of some element. Since $A \oplus B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$, $A \oplus B = 00100 \cup 01000 = 01100$.

$(A \oplus B) \oplus C = 01100 \oplus 00110 = 01000 \cup 00010 = 01010$. $A \oplus (B \oplus C) = 10101 \oplus 11111 = 00000 \cup 01010 = 01010$. Thus, $(A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C)$. So, \oplus is associative.

- Find a subset $I \subseteq U$ such that for every A we have $A \oplus I = A$.

Suppose $p \in A \oplus I$. Then, p is either an element of A or I , but not both. $A \oplus I = (A - I) \cup (I - A)$.

First case, if $p \in A$, then $p \in (A - I)$, but not in $(I - A)$. To be $A \oplus I = A$, $(A - I)$ has to be equal to A . Therefore, I has to be an empty set.

Second case, if $p \in I$, then $p \in (I - A)$, but not in $(A - I)$. To be $A \oplus I = A$, $p \in A$, but p is also an element of $(I - A)$. In this case, $I = \phi$ is the only possibility which holds the given condition.

Thus, $I = \phi$ is the answer.

- For each A , find a B such that $A \oplus B = I$.

$A \oplus B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$. To be $A \oplus B = I$, A has to be equal to B since I is an empty set. So, the answer is $A = B$.

- True or false:

$$\text{If } A \oplus B = A \oplus C \text{ then } B = C.$$

Proof. $A \oplus A = \phi$. So, $(A \oplus A) \oplus A = A$. Also, $(A \oplus A) \oplus B = A \oplus (A \oplus B) = B$ and $A \oplus (A \oplus C) = C$. Therefore, if $A \oplus B = A \oplus C$, then B has to be equal to C .

□

3. A binary relation on a set may be reflexive, symmetric or transitive. Call those properties R , S , and T for short. There are eight possible truth value combinations for those properties. For each of the eight, find an example. (So, for example, one of your answers should exhibit a binary relation that satisfies R and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$.) Please find elegant examples – the best are either everyday situations where the properties are obviously what you claim they are, or binary relations built on the smallest possible finite set that will do the job. You can use answers to previous problems when they work here.

1. R and S and T

This is equivalence relation. The relation \star in the next question $(a, b) \star (c, d) \Leftrightarrow ad = bc$ is an example of equivalence relation.

2. R and S and $\sim T$

If we go to South Station in Boston, there exists a bus from Boston to NYC and a bus from NYC to Philadelphia, but there is no direct bus from Boston to Philadelphia. So, this relation is not transitive. There exists a bus from Boston to NYC and NYC to Boston as well. So, this relation is symmetric. If I took the bus from Boston to NYC, but got off before departure for some reason, this can be sort of reflexive relation.

3. R and $\sim S$ and T

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,1,1,3,3,2,1,2,2,2,3,3$, then this relation is reflexive and transitive, but not symmetric.

4. R and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,1,2,2,3,3,1,2,2,3$, then this relation is reflexive, but neither symmetric nor transitive.

5. $\sim R$ and S and T

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,1,1,2,2,1,1,3,3,1$, then this relation is not reflexive, but both symmetric and transitive.

6. $\sim R$ and S and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,2,2,1,2,3,3,2$, then this relation is neither reflexive nor transitive, but is symmetric.

7. $\sim R$ and $\sim S$ and T

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,3,3,2,2,3,1,2,3,3$, then this relation is neither reflexive nor symmetric, but is transitive.

8. $\sim R$ and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = 1,2,3$ and $S = 1,2,3$, and a relation $1,2,2,3,3,1$, then this relation is not reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

4. Let \star be the relation on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$(a, b) \star (c, d) \iff ad = bc.$$

(a) Describe all the pairs (a, b) such that $(a, b) \star (4, 6)$.

If $(a, b) \star (4, 6)$, then $6a = 4b$, so $3a = 2b$. Therefore,

$$(a, b) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (2k, 3k)\}$$

(b) Show that \star is an equivalence relation.

First, $(a, b) \star (a, b) \iff ab = ba$. This is true because integers are commutative with respect to multiplication. So, the relation \star is reflexive.

Second, if $(a, b) \star (c, d) \iff ad = bc$, then $(c, d) \star (a, b) \iff cb = da$. This is also true for the same reason above. So, the relation \star is symmetric.

Third, if $(a, b) \star (c, d)$, and $(c, d) \star (e, f)$, then $(a, b) \star (e, f)$. This is also true because if $ad = bc$ and $cf = de$, then $af = be$. We can show this by multiplying two equations term by term. $ad \cdot cf = bc \cdot de$, so $adc f = bcde$. If we cancel out cd both term, then we get $af = be$. So, the relation \star is transitive.

Thus, \star is an equivalence relation.

(c) Prove: given (a, b) with $b \neq 0$ there is a unique pair (m, n) equivalent to (a, b) for which $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. (Hint, if needed: think about the previous part of the problem.)

If b is not equal to 0, then there exists a unique pair (m, n) , which m and n are relatively prime. In the first part of this problem, a can be any multiples of 2 and b can be any multiples of 3. In this case, $(m, n) = (2, 3)$. This is because the ratio between a and b is always consistent, so if we eliminate their common factors, we can get two numbers m and n which are relatively prime.

(d) What happens to the previous claim when $b = 0$?

Suppose that we have $(a, 0) \star (c, d)$. Then, we get the equation $ad = 0$. If $a = 0$, then c and d can be any integers, and if $d = 0$, then a can be any integers. Thus, we cannot determine a unique pair (m, n) if $b = 0$.

(e) Show that there is a bijection between \mathbb{Q} (the rational numbers) and the partition corresponding to \star .

If we allow division for the equation $ad = bc$, we get $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ with b and d are not equal to zero. Rational numbers are expressed as the quotient of two integers with denominator is not equal to zero. We can make a partition based on two integers m and n which

are relatively prime. For example, if $(m, n) = (1, 2)$, then the partition for $(1, 2)$ can be $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{6}, \dots$. The numerators are multiples of 1, and the denominators are multiples of 2 in this case. Therefore, if we choose two numbers m and n which are relatively prime, we can construct the whole list of rational numbers by making their partitions.

So, there is a bijection between $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Q}$ and the partition corresponding to \star .

5. For each of the following sets, provide an argument showing that its countable, or that its not.

(a) The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} .

The cardinality of the power set of \mathbb{N} is not countable. Partitions split the power set of natural numbers into a disjoint union of subsets. The power set of a finite set has 2^n elements. After I looked over Wikipedia, the Bell numbers, which we use to count the number of partitions, are growing much faster than the n^{th} power of two. So, I think that the cardinality of the set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} is greater than the cardinality of the power set of natural numbers. So, I would conclude that the set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} is uncountable.

(b) The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} into a finite number of blocks.

(c) The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} for which each block is finite.

6. Counting computer programs Each of these questions can be answered in a sentence or two if you really understand the work we did in class on counting infinities.

(a) Show that there are only countably many finite strings of (ascii) characters.

(b) Show that in any particular programming language there are only finitely many computer programs that accept an integer as input and produce a boolean value as output.

(c) Show that there are uncountably many functions from \mathbb{Z} to $\{T, F\}$.

(d) Show that for any particular computer language there are functions from \mathbb{Z} to $\{T, F\}$ that cant be implemented by a program in that language.

(e) If you have a language and a function that cant be computed using that language you can create a new and better language to compute it. If you do that over and over again will you have a language that computes all functions?

```

% Math 320 hw4
%
\documentclass{article}
\pagestyle{empty}
\usepackage[teXheight=10in]{geometry}
\usepackage{mathtools, nccmath}
\usepackage{amssymb}
\usepackage{amsthm}
\usepackage{listings}
\usepackage{graphicx}
\usepackage{verbatim}
% hyperref should be (nearly) the last package loaded
\usepackage{hyperref}
\usepackage{xparse}
%This very cool macro comes from
%http://tex.stackexchange.com/questions/209863/how-to-add-mathematical-notation-of-a-set
%
% \set{ stuff ; something }
% expands to
% { stuff | something }
%
\DeclarePairedDelimiterX{\set}[1]{\{ }\}\{\setargs{#1}}
\NewDocumentCommand{\setargs}{>\SplitArgument{1}{;}m}
{\setargsaux#1}
\NewDocumentCommand{\setargsaux}{mm}
{\IfNoValueTF{#2}{#1} {#1\,\delimsize|\,\mathopen}{#2}}%{#1\; ;\;#2}
\newtheorem{theorem}{Theorem}
\newcommand{\coursehome}
{http://www.cs.umb.edu/~eb/320}
\title{Discrete Mathematics \\\
Homework 4
}
\author{Jiho Choi}
%\date{September 1, 2014}
\newcommand{\ZZ}{\mathbb{Z}}
\newcommand{\NN}{\mathbb{N}}
\newcommand{\QQ}{\mathbb{Q}}
%create (mod n) macro
\newcommand{\mm}[1]{%
\ensuremath{(\text{mod } #1)}}
\begin{document}
\maketitle
\noindent
Due: December 2, 2014

\section*{Exercises}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Is forming the cartesian product of two sets a commutative operation?
Let  $S$  and  $T$  be sets. Investigate when/whether
%
\begin{equation*}
S \times T = T \times S .
\end{equation*}
Start this problem by working a few small examples! When you understand whats going on, write your answer as a theorem like this:
\begin{theorem}
 $S \times T = T \times S$  if and only if [something] or [something else].
\end{theorem}
\begin{proof}

```

Suppose $S \times T = T \times S$. Then \dots so something or something else is true.
 Conversely, suppose neither something nor something else is true. Then \dots so $S \times T \neq T \times S$.

Suppose that a set $S = \{1,2\}$ and another set $T = \{3,4\}$. Then, the Cartesian product $S \times T = \{\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\}\}$, but $T \times S = \{\{3,1\},\{3,2\},\{4,1\},\{4,2\}\}$. So, Cartesian product is not commutative for this case. Let's see another example.
 Suppose that a set $S = \{1,2\}$ and another set $T = \{1,2\}$. Then, $S \times T = \{\{1,1\},\{1,2\},\{2,1\},\{2,2\}\}$, and $T \times S = \{\{1,1\},\{1,2\},\{2,1\},\{2,2\}\}$. So, Cartesian product is commutative for this case. $\backslash\backslash$

In general, $S \times T = T \times S$ if and only if $S = T$.

Suppose $S \times T = T \times S$. Let $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. Then, $(x,y) \in S \times T$ and $(x,y) \in T \times S$ by hypothesis. So, $x \in S$ and $y \in T \rightarrow x \in T$ and $y \in S$. Therefore, if $S \times T = T \times S$, then $S = T$. $\backslash\backslash$

Conversely, suppose that $S \neq T$. Let $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. Then $(x,y) \in S \times T$ and $(y,x) \in T \times S$. However, $(y,x) \notin S \times T$ since y is not necessarily an element in S . Therefore, if $S \neq T$, then $S \times T \neq T \times S$. $\backslash\backslash$

Consequently, $S \times T = T \times S$ if and only if $S = T$.

$\backslash\text{end}\{\text{proof}\}$

$\backslash\text{item}$ The algebra of symmetric differences.

We'll use $A \oplus B$ for the symmetric difference of subsets A and B of a universe U . $\backslash\text{begin}\{\text{itemize}\}$

$\backslash\text{item}$ Show that \oplus is associative.

Suppose that sets $A = 10101$, $B = 11001$, and $C = 00110$. Here, each of them is binary expression. In each digit, 1 means the presence of some element in each set and 0 means the absence of some element.
 Since $A \oplus B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$, $A \oplus B = 00100 \cup 01000 = 01100$. $\backslash\backslash$

$(A \oplus B) \oplus C = 01100 \oplus 00110 = 01000 \cup 00010 = 01010$.
 $A \oplus (B \oplus C) = 10101 \oplus 11111 = 00000 \cup 01010 = 01010$.
 Thus, $(A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C)$.
 So, \oplus is associative.

$\backslash\text{item}$ Find a subset $I \subseteq U$ such that for every A we have $A \oplus I = A$.

Suppose $p \in A \oplus I$. Then, p is either an element of A or I , but not both. $A \oplus I = (A - I) \cup (I - A)$. $\backslash\backslash$

First case, if $p \in A$, then $p \in (A - I)$, but not in $(I - A)$. To be $A \oplus I = A$, $(A - I)$ has to be equal to A . Therefore, I has to be an empty set. $\backslash\backslash$

Second case, if $p \in I$, then $p \in (I - A)$, but not in $(A - I)$. To be $A \oplus I = A$, $p \in A$, but p is also an element of $(I - A)$.

In this case, $I = \phi$ is the only possibility which holds the given condition.\

Thus, $I = \phi$ is the answer.

\item For each A , find a B such that $A \oplus B = I$.

$A \oplus B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$. To be $A \oplus B = I$, A has to be equal to B since I is an empty set. So, the answer is $A = B$.

\item True or false:

%

\begin{equation*}

\text{If } A \oplus B = A \oplus C \text{ then } B = C.

\end{equation*}

\begin{proof}

$A \oplus A = \phi$. So, $(A \oplus A) \oplus A = A$.

Also, $(A \oplus A) \oplus B = A \oplus (A \oplus B) = B$

and $A \oplus (A \oplus C) = C$. Therefore, if $A \oplus B = A \oplus C$, then B has to be equal to C .

\end{proof}

\end{itemize}

\item A binary relation on a set may be reflexive, symmetric or transitive. Call those properties R , S , and T for short. There are eight possible truth value combinations for those properties. For each of the eight, find an example. (So, for example, one of your answers should exhibit a binary relation that satisfies R and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$.)

Please find elegant examples -- the best are either everyday situations where the properties are obviously what you claim they are, or binary relations built on the smallest possible finite set that will do the job. You can use answers to previous problems when they work here.

1. R and S and T \

This is equivalence relation. The relation \star in the next question $(a,b) \star (c,d) \Leftrightarrow ad = bc$ is an example of equivalence relation.\

2. R and S and $\sim T$ \

If we go to South Station in Boston, there exists a bus from Boston to NYC and a bus from NYC to Philadelphia, but there is no direct bus from Boston to Philadelphia. So, this relation is not transitive. There exists a bus from Boston to NYC and NYC to Boston as well. So, this relation is symmetric. If I took the bus from Boston to NYC, but got off before departure for some reason, this can be sort of reflexive relation.\

3. R and $\sim S$ and T \

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,1), \{1,3\}, \{3,2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,2\}, \{3,3\}\}$, then this relation is reflexive and transitive, but not symmetric.\

4. R and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,1),\{2,2\}, \{3,3\},\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$, then this relation is reflexive, but neither symmetric nor transitive.

5. $\sim R$ and S and T

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,1),\{1,2\},\{2,1\},\{1,3\},\{3,1\}\}$, then this relation is not reflexive, but both symmetric and transitive.

6. $\sim R$ and S and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,2),\{2,1\},\{2,3\},\{3,2\}\}$, then this relation is neither reflexive nor transitive, but is symmetric.

7. $\sim R$ and $\sim S$ and T

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,3),\{3,2\},\{2,3\},\{1,2\},\{3,3\}\}$, then this relation is neither reflexive nor symmetric, but is transitive.

8. $\sim R$ and $\sim S$ and $\sim T$

If we have a pair of numbers, $R \times S$, with $R = \{1,2,3\}$ and $S = \{1,2,3\}$, and a relation $\{(1,2),\{2,3\},\{3,1\}\}$, then this relation is not reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Let \star be the relation on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ defined by
$$(a,b) \star (c,d) \iff ad = bc$$

Describe all the pairs (a,b) such that $(a,b) \star (4,6)$.

If $(a,b) \star (4,6)$, then $6a = 4b$, so $3a = 2b$. Therefore,
$$(a,b) = \{(k \in \mathbb{Z} ; (2k, 3k))\}$$

Show that \star is an equivalence relation.

First, $(a,b) \star (a,b) \iff ab = ba$. This is true because integers are commutative with respect to multiplication. So, the relation \star is reflexive.

Second, if $(a,b) \star (c,d) \iff ad = bc$, then $(c,d) \star (a,b) \iff cb = da$. This is also true for the same reason above. So, the relation \star is symmetric.

Third, if $(a,b) \star (c,d)$, and $(c,d) \star (e,f)$, then $(a,b) \star (e,f)$. This is also true because if $ad = bc$ and $cf = de$, then $af = be$. We can show this by multiplying two equations term by term. $ad*cf = bc*de$, so $adcf = bcde$. If we cancel out cd both term, then we get $af = be$. So, the relation \star is transitive.

Thus, \sim is an equivalence relation.

Prove: given (a,b) with $b \neq 0$ there is a unique pair (m,n) equivalent to (a,b) for which $\gcd(m,n) = 1$. (Hint, if needed: think about the previous part of the problem.)

If b is not equal to 0, then there exists a unique pair (m,n) , which m and n are relatively prime. In the first part of this problem, a can be any multiples of 2 and b can be any multiples of 3. In this case, $(m,n) = (2,3)$. This is because the ratio between a and b is always consistent, so if we eliminate their common factors, we can get two numbers m and n which are relatively prime.

What happens to the previous claim when $b = 0$?

Suppose that we have $(a,0) \sim (c,d)$. Then, we get the equation $ad = 0$. If $a = 0$, then c and d can be any integers, and if $d = 0$, then a can be any integers. Thus, we cannot determine a unique pair (m,n) if $b = 0$.

Show that there is a bijection between \mathbb{Q} (the rational numbers) and the partition corresponding to \sim .

If we allow division for the equation $ad = bc$, we get $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ with b and d are not equal to zero. Rational numbers are expressed as the quotient of two integers with denominator is not equal to zero. We can make a partition based on two integers m and n which are relatively prime. For example, if $(m,n) = (1,2)$, then the partition for $(1,2)$ can be $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{6}, \dots$. The numerators are multiples of 1, and the denominators are multiples of 2 in this case. Therefore, if we choose two numbers m and n which are relatively prime, we can construct the whole list of rational numbers by making their partitions.

So, there is a bijection between \mathbb{Q} and the partition corresponding to \sim .

For each of the following sets, provide an argument showing that its countable, or that its not.

\begin{cases}

The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} .

The cardinality of the power set of \mathbb{N} is not countable. Partitions split the power set of natural numbers into a disjoint union of subsets. The power set of a finite set has 2^n elements. After I looked over Wikipedia, the Bell numbers, which we use to count the number of partitions, are growing much faster than the n^{th} power of two. So, I think that the cardinality of the set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} is greater than the cardinality of the power set of natural numbers. So, I would conclude that the set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} is uncountable.

The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} into a finite number of blocks.

The set of all partitions of \mathbb{N} for which each block is finite.

```

\end{enumerate}
\item Counting computer programs
Each of these questions can be answered in a sentence or two if you
really understand the work we did in class on counting infinities.
\begin{enumerate}
\item Show that there are only countably many finite strings of (ascii)
characters.

\item Show that in any particular programming language there are only
finitely many computer programs that accept an integer as input and
produce a boolean value as output.

\item Show that there are uncountably many functions from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to
 $\{T, F\}$ .

\item Show that for any particular computer language there are
functions from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\{T, F\}$  that cant be implemented by a
program in that language.

\item If you have a language and a function that cant be computed
using that language you can create a new and better language to
compute it. If you do that over and over again will you have a
language that computes all functions?

\end{enumerate}
\end{enumerate}
\newpage
\verbatiminput{\jobname}
\end{document}

```