

CS444 Spring 2025 Comment on proj1

**CS Username:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Score** out of 100 pts: \_\_\_\_\_

**(15 pts) 1. Specific Technical Requirements for your files:**

- a. (2 pts) You made a subdirectory proj1 in your course directory \_\_\_\_\_
- b. (3 pts) You submitted .c file(s) for a C program <name>.c (not named huffman.c to avoid confusion) \_\_\_\_\_
- c. (3 pts) Your program files, incremental files and supplied files are in proj1 or a subdirectory \_\_\_\_\_
- d. (3 pts) You submitted an executable <name> that runs \_\_\_\_\_
- e. (3 pts) You submitted a readMe.txt \_\_\_\_\_
- f. (1 pt) You submitted a Makefile for <name> \_\_\_\_\_

**(15 pts) 2. We recompiled your code**

- a. (2 pts) We copied your files, recompiled your code and it matched your submitted executable \_\_\_\_\_
- b. (3 pts) We submitted your code to moss and its similarity to other students' submissions is <45% \_\_\_\_\_
- c. (3 pts) We ran your code with defaults for input and output files \_\_\_\_\_
- d. (3 pts) We ran your code with command-line flags for debug, input and output \_\_\_\_\_
- e. (3 pts) Your debug switch produces chars, freqs, codes and total bytes \_\_\_\_\_
- f. (1 pt) Your code produces a <name>.out file \_\_\_\_\_

**(15 pts) 3. We checked your readMe.txt**

- a. (5 pt) Your name is in your readMe.txt \_\_\_\_\_
- b. (5 pts) You explained your incremental development including filenames \_\_\_\_\_
- c. (5 pts) You listed the sources you consulted with author, title, date for books and journals and URL for internet sources \_\_\_\_\_

**(20 pts) 4. We read your code**

- a. (5 pts) Your name is in your code \_\_\_\_\_
- b. (2 pts) Your code correctly reads in command line arguments or uses defaults \_\_\_\_\_
- c. (2 pts) Your code is consistently indented \_\_\_\_\_
- d. (2 pts) Names in your code are well-chosen \_\_\_\_\_
- e. (2 pts) Comments are useful and do not just echo the next line of code \_\_\_\_\_
- f. (2 pts) Your code uses fwrite to do binary writes to the output file \_\_\_\_\_
- g. (3 pts) Using the examples in the MIT writing code reference, your code cites sources for code that is copied from the internet into your code or copied from internet into your code and modified \_\_\_\_\_
- h. (2 pts) Each URL cited in your code is followed by an end marker so we can see where your own code resumes \_\_\_\_\_

**(5 pts) 5. Code Walkthru Check**

- a. (5 pts) We checked your lists of sources in readMe.txt against the sources cited in your code and those in your code are a subset \_\_\_\_
- b. (0 pts) If needed, we asked for a code walkthru which you did for us \_\_\_\_

**(30 pts) 6. Output is correct**

- a. (18 pts) diff of your <name>.out and instructor huffman.out shows no difference \_\_\_\_
- b. (3 pts) Your frequency collection is correct \_\_\_\_
- c. (3 pts) The total number of bytes in your single node Huffman tree is correct \_\_\_\_
- d. (3 pts) The codes you list and bit counts for each code are correct \_\_\_\_
- e. (3 pts) A hexdump -C on your output shows some file compression