CS444 Spring 2025 Comment on proj2
CS Username:
Score out of 100 pts:
(15 pts) 1. Specific Technical Requirements for your files:
a. (1 pts) You made a subdirectory proj2 in your course directory
b. (3 pts) You submitted C program(s) raid.c
c. (3 pts) You submitted C program(s) diar.c
d. (1 pts) Your program files, incremental files and supplied files are proj2 or if appropriate in a subdir
e. (3 pts) You submitted executables that run for raid for diar
f. (3 pts) You submitted readMe.txt w/ 'readMe.txt' spelled correctly
g. (1 pts) You submitted a Makefile that compiles raid and diar
(15 pts) 2. We recompiled latest version of your code and ran it:
a. (2 pts) We copied raid.c, ran make and executable matched your submitted
b. (2 pts) We copied diar.c, ran make and executable matched your submitted
c. (3 pts) We submitted code to moss and its similarity to other students' submissions is
<45%
d. (4 pts) We ran your code with input switches: -f -df -s -d
e. (4 pts) Your code gave outputs: <filename>.part0-6 <filename>.txt.2</filename></filename>
(15 pts) 3. We checked latest version of your readMe.txt
a. (5 pts) Your name is in your readMe.txt
b. (2 pt) You list six commands
c. (2 pts) You explain the incremental development of your raid code including file names:
d. (2 pts) You explain the incremental development of your diar code including files
names:
e. (4 pts) You list the sources you consulted with author, title, date for books, author,
title, journal, pages for articles and URLs for internet sources
(20 pts) 4. We read the latest version of your code
a. (5 pts) Your name is in your code
b. (4 pts) Your code correctly reads in CL arguments: raid diar
c. (2 pts) Your code is consistently indented (2 or 4 spaces)
d. (2 pts) Names in your code are well-chosen
e. (2 pts) Comments are useful and do not just echo the next line of code
f. (3 pts) Using the examples in the MIT writing code reference, your code cites sources
for code that is copied from the internet into your code or copied from the internet into
vour code and modified: raid diar

where your own code resumes: raid diar
(5 pts) 5. Code Walkthru Check a. (5 pts) We checked your list of sources in readMe.txt against the sources cited in your code and those in your code are a subset b. (0 pts) If needed, we asked for a code walkthru which you did for us
(30 pts) 6. Output is correct a. (3 pts) We ran ./raid -f test.txt, hexdump -C test.txt.part0, got 0xef ed b. (3 pts) We ran ./diar -f test.txt -s 8, diff test.txt test.txt.2, got no diff c. (2 pts/2 pts) To get outputs, we ran ./raid -f com*/diar -f com* -s 5694072
d. (2 pts) diff of your <com*>.txt.2 and instructor's shows no diff e. (3 pts) Your debug switch shows intermediate results: raid diar f. (15 pts) We reran raid, corrupted some output, ran diar, and diff showed no diff</com*>